

Question 1: This executive summary sets out Ofcom's proposals for the release of the digital dividend. Do you agree with these proposals?::

Absolutely not.

There is a totally false presumption that the available spectrum be sold to mobile devices rather than reserved for HD on Freeview.

This will mean I can only watch HD on Sky - this is totally wrong and doesn't provide me with a choice of HD service. My area doesn't have Virgin cable so I have no choice if there is no HD on Freeview.

The spectrum should be reserved for free to air broadcasters and not sold off. This is totally wrong and a great insult to people who have bought HD TV's and enjoy Freeview.

Question 2: Do you have any comments on our analysis of the essential constraints that will apply to the available UHF spectrum?::

Your analysis is completely wrong that people with HD TV's are not interested in HD broadcasts - how stupid is that. Why would they buy these expensive sets if wasn't to view high-quality broadcasts.

There is no imperative for Ofcom to maximise the money returned from the spectrum - they should look after consumer interests not Sky interests.

Question 3: Do you agree with the more detailed analysis and proposals regarding these technical constraints as set out in Annex 10?::

No - again there is a totally false presumption that HD broadcasts are not required or wanted.

Question 4: Do you have any comments on Ofcom's assessment of the potential uses of this spectrum? Are there any potential uses which should be considered that are not mentioned in this document?::

You should only consider HD for Freeview - everything else is a red-herring and an insult to users who want free HD broadcasts without paying stupid Sky prices.

Question 5: Do you have any comments on our analysis of the choice between a market-led and an interventionist approach to the release of this spectrum? Do you agree with the analysis of different mechanisms for intervening to remedy potential market failures?::

Definitely not - again your working premises is totally wrong.

This spectrum was used for free to air broadcasts and it should be maintained as such.

Question 6:Do you agree with our proposals to continue making available channel 69 for use by low power PMSE devices? Do you agree with our proposal to make some or all of the spectrum available for use on a licence-exempt basis?..:

Yes - this is fine

Question 7:Do you agree that there should be transitional protection for professional PMSE users to ensure that they can continue to access interleaved capacity until at least the end of 2012? Do you have any views on the mechanism for providing future access to this spectrum?..:

Question 8:Do you consider that additional spectrum from the digital dividend should be reserved for low power applications? If so, please provide as much evidence as possible about the nature of the application and its potential value to society.:

Question 9:Do you consider that it would be desirable to hold back some spectrum from award with a view to its potential use for future innovation? If so, please provide comments on how much spectrum should be held back, and for how long.:

Question 10:Do you agree with our proposal that we should package the interleaved spectrum in a way that would be suitable for use by local television services, but not reserve spectrum solely for this use?..:

This is a false lead - local TV is better served on the internet and is available by demand rather than geography.

Question 11:Do you agree with our proposal to package the spectrum in a way which does not preclude mobile broadband use, but to take no further action in relation to this use?..:

Question 12:Do you agree with our proposal that we should not intervene in the award of this spectrum to reserve spectrum for DTT? Do you agree that we should package the spectrum in a way which is suitable for DTT use?..:

Question 13:Do you consider that we have included in our analysis the most material risks in relation to market failure?..:

Question 14:Do you agree with our proposal to auction licences for the use of the available UHF spectrum?..:

Question 15:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposals as to the timing of any auction? If not, what alternative proposal would you make and

why, and what evidence and analysis can you provide in support of your alternative proposal?..:

Question 16:Do you have any views on which of the packaging options identified for the cleared spectrum would be most suitable?..:

Question 17:Do you have any views on which of the packaging options identified for the interleaved spectrum would be most suitable?..:

Question 18:Do you have any views on which of the auction design options would be most suitable?..:

Question 19:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposals for the non-technical terms of the licences to be awarded for use of the UHF spectrum?..:

Question 20:Do you agree with the analysis of the options as set out in this Impact Assessment?..:

Additional comments: