

Question 1: Do you agree that public service provision and funding beyond the BBC is an important part of any future system?:

N/A

Question 2: Which of the three refined models do you think is most appropriate?:

N/A

Question 3: Do you agree that in any future model Channel 4 should have an extended remit to innovate and provide distinctive UK content across platforms? If so, should it receive additional funding directly, or should it have to compete for funding?:

N/A

Question 4: Do you think ITV1, Five and Teletext should continue to have public service obligations after 2014? Where ITV1 has an ongoing role, do you agree that the Channel 3 licensing structure should be simplified, if so what form of licensing would be most appropriate?:

N/A

Question 5: What role should competition for funding play in future? In which areas of content? What comments do you have on our description of how this might work in practice?:

N/A

Question 6: Do you agree with our findings that nations and regions news continues to have an important role and that additional funding should be provided to sustain it?:

N/A

Question 7: Which of the three refined models do you think is most appropriate in the devolved nations?:

N/A

Question 8: Do you agree with our analysis of the future potential for local content services?:

N/A

Question 9: Do you agree with our assessment of each possible funding source, in terms of its scale, advantages and disadvantages?:

N/A

Question 10: What source or sources of funding do you think are most appropriate for the future provision of public service content beyond the BBC?:

N/A

Question 11: Which of the potential approaches to funding for Channel 4 do you favour?:

Question 12: Do you agree that our proposals for 'tier 2' quotas affecting ITV plc, stv, UTV, Channel TV, Channel 4, Five and Teletext are appropriate, in the light of our analysis of the growing pressure on funding and audiences? priorities? If not, how should we amend them, and what evidence can you provide to support your alternative?:

N/A

Additional comments:

Hi I find it really stupid that we have to fill in those questions just to keep regional news. I am not interested about BBC, Channel 4 and Channel 5. I just think we should be allowed to keep regional news on itv otherwise what is the point of them calling themselves independent television any more? I also think these super regions are going to be very hard to implement when you have to cover an area as wide as Westcountry and the West. If the newsroom is based in Bristol, then they are going to have a very hard time covering any important story that suddenly breaks down in Plymouth when it is going to take a reporter 2 hours to get down there. I also did not think that when you backed itv's proposals to cut down the regional newsrooms and programmes that you did not consider what the viewing public wanted. After all, did you do a survey to find out???? Viewers love regional news and like myself have been watching it for years as it did have a homely feel to it apart from the last few years when itv plc have decided to dum it down!!!! I just think it will be a pity if these proposals go ahead and think this will have a big impact on the viewers who will just switch over to the bbc so Itv plc will therefore start losing more viewers. I just think these proposals have not been thought through properly.