

Name withheld

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you have any comments on our modelling approach and assessment of numbers of households affected?:

Although the percentage affected may appear small the numbers affected will still be significant

Question 2: Do you agree with our high level conclusions on mitigation options?:

Question 3: Do you have any comments, views or evidence that you would wish to be considered in our further work looking at the appropriate level of consumer support?:

Is it not illegal to knowingly transmit on equipment that causes interference.

Question 4: Do you have any comments or views on how we have assessed the approaches and our preference for the hybrid approach?:

Question 5: Do you agree with the options, the assessment approach and our initial conclusions? What are your views on cost risks and how to deal with them?:

Why does it have to be that part of the Spectrum that is being sold off for 4g? What happens when 5G & 6G etc come along.

This is all about money for the Big Boys and Government. Anyone affected should have the legal right not to pay for a TV licence.

And I disagree money, taxpayers money, should not be spent on, "education" the new owners of the bandwidth should have to spend their money to sort out a solution. The taxpayer and licence payer does not exist to featherbed big business.