

Name withheld

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you have any comments on our modelling approach and assessment of numbers of households affected?:

Question 2: Do you agree with our high level conclusions on mitigation options?:

It should be of the highest level

Question 3: Do you have any comments, views or evidence that you would wish to be considered in our further work looking at the appropriate level of consumer support?:

Act more more the customer. Sometimes it seems you are only interested in the tv companies welfare, and not the customer/public

Question 4: Do you have any comments or views on how we have assessed the approaches and our preference for the hybrid approach?:

Question 5: Do you agree with the options, the assessment approach and our initial conclusions? What are your views on cost risks and how to deal with them?:

What we need is an independent communications organisation that represents the rights and good service of the public, and not those of tv, radio and communications companies.

Why are you allowing 4G services that will possibly interfere with Freeview.

And how dare you suggest affected customers should use Freesat, and even worse, Sky or Cable at some cost to themselves, just because you are not making sure any future spectrum use will not interfere with freeview.

Be ashamed, very ashamed.