

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? ability to raise prices in fixed term contracts without the automatic right to terminate without penalty on the part of consumers?:**

I don't think this should be allowed - you sign a contract for a period of time at a set price. I couldn't go to them and say the cost of living has gone up for me - so I can't afford to pay you as much and reduce my bill. How dare they increase it - without giving me the option to leave without penalty. They are changing the contract terms not me.

**Question 2: Should consumers share the risk of Communications Providers? costs increasing or should Communications Providers bear that risk because they are better placed to assess the risks and take steps to mitigate them?:**

You sign a set price contract. That should be honoured. If companies want to increase the price of new contracts then fine - you know what you are paying when you sign up - but to sting existing customers is wrong! I'll bet if the cost of living had decreased they wouldn't be reducing the tariffs.

**Question 3: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? inconsistent application of the ?material detriment? test in GC9.6 and the uncertainties associated with the UTCCRs?:**

Material detriment should apply to any price increase. Price is always a major factor in taking out the contract - therefore any increase should be considered material detriment. Who can say what one person can afford and what another can't

**Question 4: Should Communications Providers be allowed (in the first instance) to unilaterally determine what constitutes material detriment or should Ofcom provide guidance?:**

Ofcom guidance

**Question 5: What are your views on whether guidance would provide an adequate remedy for the consumer harm identified? Do you have a view as to how guidance could remedy the harm?:**

Difficult to know - will the companies follow the guidance? What could be done if they refuse to?

**Question 6: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from the lack of transparency of price variation terms?:**

There is definitely a lack of transparency - I had no idea until today that orange could just increase my tariff. I'd contract. That is clearly an unfair term - and should be highlighted at time of taking out the contract.

**Question 7: Do you agree that transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against the harm caused by price rises in fixed term contracts?:**

I would feel less angry about it - as at least I would have had an idea that this could happen - but no, it doesn't protect against harm.

**Question 8: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should protect consumers in respect of any increase in the price for services provided under a contract applicable at the time that contract is entered into by the consumer?:**

Yes

**Question 9: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should apply to price increases in relation to all services or do you think that there are particular services which should be treated differently, for example, increases to the service charge for calls to non-geographical numbers?:**

It should apply to all cost stipulated in the contract at the time of signing.

**Question 10: Do you agree that the harm identified from price rises in fixed term contracts applies to small business customers (as well as residential customers) but not larger businesses?:**

No - it affects anyone with a contract with the company. Potentially larger business can swallow the rise more easily - but in recent years we've seen the collapse of some pretty big names - so it won't always be the case.

**Question 11: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention that we may take to protect customers from price rises in fixed term contracts should apply to residential and small business customers alike?:**

Yes

**Question 12: Do you agree that our definition of small business customers in the context of this consultation and any subsequent regulatory intervention should be consistent with the definition in section 52(6) of the Communications Act and in other parts of the General Conditions?:**

I don't know what that definition is.

**Question 13: Do you agree that price rises due to the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29 are outside a Communications Provider's control or ability to manage and therefore they should not be required to let consumers withdraw from the contract without penalty where price rises are as a result of one of these factors?:**

No

**Question 14: Except for the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29, are there any other reasons for price increases that you would consider to be fully outside the control of Communications Providers or their ability to manage and therefore should not trigger the obligation on providers to allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty?:**

No

**Question 15: Do you agree that Communications Providers are best placed to decide how they can communicate contract variations effectively with its consumers?:**

No

**Question 16: Do you agree with Ofcom's approach to liaise with providers informally at this stage, where appropriate, with suggestions for better practice where we identify that notifications could be improved?:**

Yes

**Question 17: What are your views on Ofcom's additional suggestions for best practice in relation to the notification of contractual variations as set out above? Do you have any further suggestions for best practice in relation to contract variation notifications to consumers?:**

**Question 18: What are your views on the length of time that consumers should be given to cancel a contract without penalty in order to avoid a price rise? For consistency, should there be a set timescale to apply to all Communications Providers? :**

It should apply to all communication providers - I think the time period should be a month - that's how much notice the company has to give you - the consumer should be given the same.

**Question 19: What are your views on whether there should be guidance which sets out the length of time that Communications Providers should allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty to avoid a price rise?:**

It should be something stronger than guidance.

**Question 20: Do you agree that this option to make no changes to the current regulatory framework is not a suitable option in light of the consumer harm identified in section 4 above?:**

Yes

**Question 21: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 2? If not, please explain your reasons.:**

**Question 22: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 3? If not, please explain your reasons.:**

**Question 23: What are your views on option 4 to modify the General Condition to require Communications Providers to notify consumers of their ability to withdraw from the contract without penalty for any price increases?:**

This sounds fair to me.

**Question 24: Do you agree with Ofcom's assessment that option 4 is the most suitable option to address the consumer harm from price rises in fixed term contracts?:**

Yes

**Question 25: Do you agree that Ofcom's proposed modifications of GC9.6 would give the intended effect to option 4?:**

**Question 26: What are your views on the material detriment test in GC9.6 still applying to any non-price variations in the contract?:**

**Question 27: For our preferred option 4, do you agree that a three month implementation period for Communications Providers would be appropriate to comply with any new arrangements?:**

No - phone companies are hiking prices now - my guess is knowing that this consultation is taking place - so as to avoid any negative impact on them of this consultation - it should be applicable retrospectively!

**Question 28: What are your views on any new regulatory requirement only applying to new contracts?:**

Better than nothing - but not good enough for those affected already!