

## Your response

| Question  | Your response   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree in principle with our proposal to introduce a new licence product to enable authorisation of the use of the 90 110 kHz band for eLoran services?</p>                           | <p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes. Indeed we believe it is vital for the future of UK Critical National Infrastructure.</p>   |
| <p><b>Question 2:</b> Are you aware of any alternative current or future uses for the 90 110 kHz band, including any which might preclude use of these frequencies for eLoran? If so, please provide details.</p> | <p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>No</p>  |
| <p><b>Question 3:</b> Do you agree with the non technical conditions we propose to include in the new 90 110 kHz licence? If not, please set out your reasons and provide any relevant evidence.</p>              | <p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>Yes. On paragraph 3.13, we advocate ten year revocation notice. If this spectrum allocation is granted, licensees and their partners will have to make substantial investments with several years prior to recognising a return and they need to be sure of a return to justify the investment to shareholders.</p> |
| <p><b>Question 4:</b> Do you agree with the technical conditions we propose to include in the new 90 110 kHz licence? Please set out your reasons and provide any relevant evidence.</p>                          | <p>Confidential? – N</p> <p>For para 4.11, we advocate a 1MW maximum transmitter power output, The justifications are to increase the resilience of the signal to interference, and to provide wider coverage</p>   |