

Name withheld

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you have any comments on our modelling approach and assessment of numbers of households affected?:

i do not know the exact ammount of house holds in total would be but i currently work as a telecare consultant and this proposal could be putting the lives of the elderly and vunerable at risk.

roughly 80% of social care alarms and sensors work off a 869 MHZ frequency which are linked to a lifeline call centre.

the risk is that if these mobile companies get there own way and flash their cash enough they will be running off the same frequency which will affect the signal going from a telecare sensor to the lifeline alarm system. tens of thousand of vunerable people rely on this service which enables them to live at home.

Question 2: Do you agree with our high level conclusions on mitigation options?:

Question 3: Do you have any comments, views or evidence that you would wish to be considered in our further work looking at the appropriate level of consumer support?:

Question 4: Do you have any comments or views on how we have assessed the approaches and our preference for the hybrid approach?:

Question 5: Do you agree with the options, the assessment approach and our initial conclusions? What are your views on cost risks and how to deal with them?:

the cost implication is huge as far as these people who need our service will start blocking up hospital beds and honestly could potentially die because of this proposal. there are all ready cuts across the board, especially in the care sector.

our services are here to help the likes of the nhs etc, but if this proposal goes ahead all of the people with equiment running of this frequency potentially wont have a lifeline that works (losing local councils millions of pounds).

in my opinion it is the GOVERNMENT and i use this word with extreme caution who should step in to stop this action.

the mobile phone companies have a turn over of billions each year so why dont they use a different MHZ