

Title:

Forename:

Surname:

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Question 1: Do you agree that these proposed regulatory objectives strike an appropriate balance between the duties and other considerations that Ofcom must take account in reviewing advertising regulation? If not, please explain why, and what objectives you would consider more appropriate?:

No, I don't agree. There is already far too much advertising too frequently. There should be a maximum of two five minute breaks in a one hour programme.

Question 2: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue detailed genre-specific rules on natural breaks?:

No

Question 3: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should allow advertising and teleshopping breaks to be signalled in sound or vision or by spatial means, and should drop the requirement for teleshopping segments to be distinguished from programmes by both sound and vision?:

No

Question 4: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue the requirement for a buffer between advertising and coverage of a religious service or Royal occasion?:

No

Question 5: Do stakeholders agree that the rule requiring a 20-minute interval between advertising breaks should be scrapped?:

No. What 20 minute interval ?

I watch Fifth Gear and there is currently about five minutes before the advert break. They don't adhere to the current rules anyway.

Question 6: Do stakeholders agree that there should be limits on the number of advertising breaks within programmes of a given scheduled duration?:

Yes. Maximum of one in a half hour show and two in an hour long show.

Question 7: Has Ofcom identified the right options for break frequencies? What issues should Ofcom take into account in formulating proposals for consultation?:

Viewers simply will not watch programmes if there are any more adverts. And that will not be good for anyone.

I gave up watching The Bill some time ago when they started having three advert breaks.

Question 8: Do stakeholders agree that the restrictions on advertising in films, documentaries and religious programmes and children's programming should be relaxed to the extent permitted by the AVMS Directive? :

No. Again there are already more adverts than the current guidelines suggest. Why do we want to allow more ?

Question 9: Do stakeholders agree that changes to the rules on advertising breaks in news and children's programmes that must be made to secure compliance with the AVMS Directive should be deferred until December 2009?:

Question 10: Do stakeholders agree that:

- a. the Code should make clear that advertisements are permitted between schools programmes?
- b. the requirement for a buffer between coverage of a religious service or Royal occasion and advertising should be discontinued?

- c. the rule prohibiting advertising after an epilogue should be discontinued? and
- d. the rule allowing Ofcom to exclude adverts from specified programmes should be discontinued?

:

Question 11: Do stakeholders agree that the rules limiting the length of individual advertisements on PSB channels should be discontinued?:

Question 12: Do stakeholders agree that the new Code should discontinue rules on the length of breaks on PSB channels?:

No

Question 13: Do stakeholders agree that the draft Code should establish the principle that the distinction between advertising and editorial content must be readily recognisable, and set out the means for doing this, but avoid more prescriptive rules?:

Question 14: Do stakeholders agree that the current arrangements for transferring unused minutage should remain in place, and be applied to Channel 4 in place of the special arrangements in respect of schools programmes?:

Question 15: What views do stakeholders have on the possible approaches to advertising minutage regulation outlined above?:

Question 16: What views do stakeholders have on the teleshopping options and preliminary assessment outlined above in relation to non-PSB channels?:

Question 17: What views do stakeholders have on the teleshopping options and preliminary assessment outlined above in relation to PSB channels?:

Question IA1: Do you agree with this overview of the impact of the current rules? Do you agree with our starting hypothesis in respect of the extent to which the current rules are likely to impose a constraint on different broadcasters i.e. PSBs and non-PSBs? If not, please set out your reasoning.:

Question IA2: Do you agree with the broad assessment of the impact on different stakeholders of changes to the rules on the distribution of TV advertising set out in Part 2? If not, please set out your reasoning.:

Question IA3: Do you consider that our optimisation approach is a reasonable approximation as to how additional advertising minutage would be used by broadcasters in practice? If not, please set out how you would approach this modelling issue and what assumptions you would adopt.:

Question IA4: Do you consider dividing non-PSB channels into the three categories of "sold out", "nearly sold out" and "unsold inventory" reflects the realities of the TV advertising market for non-PSB channels. If not, how would you suggest we approach this issue in modelling terms?:

Question IA5: Do you agree that the assumptions of no drop-off effect is a reasonable assumption to make for the purposes of this modelling exercise? If you disagree, please explain your reasoning and provide data to support any alternative assumptions that you would use.:

Question IA6: Do you consider that this range of scenarios is appropriate? Are there any other types of scenarios that you believe we should explore as part of our modelling work?:

Question IA7: Is the modelling of the changes in the volume of commercial impacts/share of commercial impacts for these different scenarios broadly in line with any modelling work you have carried out? If not, we would be interested to understand what results you have obtained in modelling these scenarios.:

Question IA8: To what extent do you think that is reasonable to assume a constant price premium in light of changes to minutage restrictions? If you think that this could be unreasonable, please set out what you think might happen and how that could be modelled.:

Question IA9: To what extent do you think that this approach would be a reasonable modelling approach to adopt?:

Question IA10: To what extent do you think that is reasonable to make use of the elasticity estimates derived from the PwC study? Are they in line with your own views as to the operation of the TV advertising market? If not, please explain your reasoning.:

Question IA11: To what extent is there evidence to support the argument that an increase in advertising minutage could reduce overall advertising expenditure on TV, i.e. that the advertising market is inelastic?:

Question IA12: To what extent do you consider that these estimates of the financial impact of changes to the rules on the amount of advertising minutage provide an indication of the potential overall scale of any changes as well as the distribution of the impact between PSBs and non-PSBs? Are they in line with your own views as to how the TV advertising market would adjust to such changes? If not, please explain your reasoning.:

Question IA13: The discussion of the modelling approach set out above has focused on the potential impact on different types of broadcasters. To what extent could there be an impact on other stakeholders, particularly media buying agencies and their clients, the advertisers? What is the attitude of these stakeholders to changes in the volume of advertising minutage?:

Question IA14: Do stakeholders agree with the analysis of the impact of these options on non-PSB channels? If not, please set out your reasons, providing evidence to support your analysis wherever possible.:

Question IA15: Do stakeholders agree with our analysis of the impact on PSB channels of these three options? If not, please explain your reasons, providing evidence to support your analysis wherever possible.:

Additional comments:

There are currently too many adverts too often and I am rapidly giving up watching any programmes on commercial stations. You are supposed to represent the TV viewers. People simply do not want to watch programmes that are constantly interrupted. By the way, why are adverts always far louder than the programme ? This problem has been around for years and nothing has been done. A few years back they managed to sneak in a third advert break during the one hour shows - hence I gave up watching the Bill, so ITV lose, the advertisers lose and the viewers lose. Great !

Many programmes do not start on time, because they are busy showing adverts.

This is all wrong and being geared around the advertisers and not the viewing public. We do not want to go down the American route.