

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you agree that it is likely that the benefits to UK consumers and citizens will be greater from the MoD's release of spectrum in the 2.3 GHz and 3.4 GHz release bands than from retaining the current amateur use?:

i do not agree and it will damage the Amateur user and the work that we have developed into this band

Question 2: Are there current uses in the release bands other than those detailed in RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3 of this consultation?:

there are many what may appear silent users but they are testing and developing in that spectrum in the Amateur and Amateur Television Bands

Question 3: Are there further consequences of removing the release bands from amateur licences that have not been considered in our analysis?:

Yes the pressure of losing more and more bands into commercial hands from a already strong user group

Question 4: There is an option (although not preferred) to remove access to the adjacent bands, as well as to the release bands. What are the consequences of removing access to the adjacent bands from amateur licences?:

the consequences are rather grim for Amateur users and there have been many ground breaking developments from Amateurs that seem to slip by and credit is never given , this can only be sustained if Amateurs can be given the freedom of band for experimentation .

Question 5: Are there current uses in the adjacent bands other than those detailed in the RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3?:

there are ATV devopments amongst others

Question 6: Are there additional mitigation measures which would provide demonstrable proof that amateurs would not cause interference into LTE in the release bands following the release?:

it has been shown over the many years and many frequencies that Amateurs are not the ones that drift into other bands it seems the Question should be reversed and asked that the Comercial use of these bands would and have always bore problems with interference

Question 7: Do you agree with the proposed process for varying licences following cases of reported interference and our proposal to vary licences should dealing with the number of reported cases become too onerous?:

as in my previous answer maybe the comercial industry need to clean up their business

Question 8: Do you agree with our preferred option?:

not at all

Question 9: Are there additional changes to the Amateur Radio Licence which would assist amateur in lowering the risk of causing harmful interference to new uses?:

AGAIN it is not the Amateur that causess the interference but again the pressure of being squeezed into bands by comercial companies that are not being controlled in the way that they should be regarding interference