

The DX Group response to proposed modifications to the PCOPA

Please consider the following representations on the “Notice of modification to the Postal Common Operational Procedures Agreement”.

- 1. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 5: the reference to Royal Mail’s first class public service appears incorrect in the context of Ofcom’s recent UPSO which refers to priority services;*
- 2. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 5: the expression “metered/franked mail” is not defined and one element or the other is redundant;*
- 3. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 6: the reference to Royal Mail’s second class public service appears incorrect in the context of Ofcom’s recent UPSO which refers to standard services;*
- 4. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 6: the expression “metered/franked mail” is not defined and one element or the other is redundant;*
- 5. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 7: “1 January 2011-12” is incorrect. Which is it, 2011 or 2012?*
- 6. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 7: “and this value” should be “and these values”?*
- 7. P28 – Schedule 2, paragraph 7: How should the values be published? We suggest that the Secretary should write to the parties to the agreement, giving one month’s notice of any change.*