

Title:

Mr

Forename:

giasone

Surname:

dal ferro

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep nothing confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Additional comments:

TAX TAX TAX

Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:

no!! daylight robbery for doing nothing

Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:

health and safety?

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:

yes

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:

no!! daylight robbery for doing nothing

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:

no!! daylight robbery for doing nothing

Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:

The proposed fees will have an adverse effect on air traffic safety. Small, non licensed airfields outside controlled airspace (Airspace class G) with fixed ground stations providing voluntary Ground to air safety relevant information -such as field condition and information about weather and other hazards to the safe conduct of flight- may choose to give up such services to maintain operational expenses at their current level. This will unnecessarily put the safety of flight at risk. OFCOM should consider if even the loss of a single life due to an air incident as a consequence of a lack in communication facilities is worth the intended charges.

Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:

I am in discussion with my family here and in Italy and the family solicitor and we are going to see how ofcom can defend a death of a pilot due to an airfield no longer

giving vital information because of the charges (TAX) you want to impose on them. I have told my family and solicitor that if I have an accident due to lack of safety due to this proposal that they sue you till the cows come home!!!!!! How much will of com and the government be sued for one death!!!! and if safety is really compromised due to this and there are multiple accidents im sure this country will most certainly be bankrupted. This is by far the worst idea to be ever thought of.

Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:

no you are just trying to increase Tax income and personal gain for yourselves and any MP (Dick Turpin) involved.

The if there is blame there is a claim culture will gain momentum again and you will be held responsible.