

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? ability to raise prices in fixed term contracts without the automatic right to terminate without penalty on the part of consumers?:

There is definite harm

Question 2: Should consumers share the risk of Communications Providers? costs increasing or should Communications Providers bear that risk because they are better placed to assess the risks and take steps to mitigate them?:

Should bare risk, as they are better placed to assess the risks

Question 3: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? inconsistent application of the 'material detriment' test in GC9.6 and the uncertainties associated with the UTCCRs?:

Inconsistent application of "Material detriment" is causing consumer harm

Question 4: Should Communications Providers be allowed (in the first instance) to unilaterally determine what constitutes material detriment or should Ofcom provide guidance?:

No Ofcom should provide guidance

Question 5: What are your views on whether guidance would provide an adequate remedy for the consumer harm identified? Do you have a view as to how guidance could remedy the harm?:

Guidance would prevent Providers from affecting changes that are sole to their benefit, at the expense of the consumer.

Question 6: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from the lack of transparency of price variation terms?:

Lack of transparency prevents consumers for making a valued judgment based on the options available between different Providers

Question 7: Do you agree that transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against the harm caused by price rises in fixed term contracts?:

Transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against harm caused by price rises

Question 8: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should protect consumers in respect of any increase in the price for services provided under a contract applicable at the time that contract is entered into by the consumer?

:

I agree

Question 9: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should apply to price increases in relation to all services or do you think that there are particular services which should be treated differently, for example, increases to the service charge for calls to non-geographical numbers?:

Particular services should be treated differently ie non geographical numbers, and mms messaging.

However Most services should be included ie insurance, bundles

Question 10: Do you agree that the harm identified from price rises in fixed term contracts applies to small business customers (as well as residential customers) but not larger businesses?:

I agree

Question 11: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention that we may take to protect customers from price rises in fixed term contracts should apply to residential and small business customers alike?:

I agree

Question 12: Do you agree that our definition of small business customers in the context of this consultation and any subsequent regulatory intervention should be consistent with the definition in section 52(6) of the Communications Act and in other parts of the General Conditions?:

I agree

Question 13: Do you agree that price rises due to the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29 are outside a Communications Provider's control or ability to manage and therefore they should not be required to let consumers withdraw from the contract without penalty where price rises are as a result of one of these factors?:

I agree

Question 14: Except for the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29, are there any other reasons for price increases that you would consider to be fully outside the control of Communications Providers or their ability to manage

and therefore should not trigger the obligation on providers to allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty?:

no

Question 15: Do you agree that Communications Providers are best placed to decide how they can communicate contract variations effectively with its consumers?:

I agree

Question 16: Do you agree with Ofcom's approach to liaise with providers informally at this stage, where appropriate, with suggestions for better practice where we identify that notifications could be improved?:

I agree

Question 17: What are your views on Ofcom's additional suggestions for best practice in relation to the notification of contractual variations as set out above? Do you have any further suggestions for best practice in relation to contract variation notifications to consumers?:

The suggestions are good. I like the idea of having it separate from marketing material

Question 18: What are your views on the length of time that consumers should be given to cancel a contract without penalty in order to avoid a price rise? For consistency, should there be a set timescale to apply to all Communications Providers? :

I think consumers should have a at least month before the change AND a month after the change to cancel the contract

Question 19: What are your views on whether there should be guidance which sets out the length of time that Communications Providers should allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty to avoid a price rise?:

Ofcom should advise on the minimum length of time.

Question 20: Do you agree that this option to make no changes to the current regulatory framework is not a suitable option in light of the consumer harm identified in section 4 above?:

I agree that no change is not a suitable option

Question 21: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 2? If not, please explain your reasons.:

I agree

Question 22: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 3? If not, please explain your reasons.:

I agree

Question 23: What are your views on option 4 to modify the General Condition to require Communications Providers to notify consumers of their ability to withdraw from the contract without penalty for any price increases?:

I thought this would have been in law already. I was shocked to find my phone provider Orange had a method of increasing how much I pay, and that I had no option to leave or dispute this.

I wholeheartedly support option 4

Question 24: Do you agree with Ofcom's assessment that option 4 is the most suitable option to address the consumer harm from price rises in fixed term contracts?:

I agree

Question 25: Do you agree that Ofcom's proposed modifications of GC9.6 would give the intended effect to option 4?:

I agree

Question 26: What are your views on the material detriment test in GC9.6 still applying to any non-price variations in the contract?:

Any time a consumer is subject to material detriment GC 9.6 should apply

Question 27: For our preferred option 4, do you agree that a three month implementation period for Communications Providers would be appropriate to comply with any new arrangements?:

I agree

Question 28: What are your views on any new regulatory requirement only applying to new contracts?:

I believe that the new regulatory requirements should apply to all existing contracts not just new contracts. Providers may not have broken the letter of the law, but certainly the spirit of it. I am concerned about contracts taken out in the interim period, and feel that providers will try to coerce consumers into taking out contracts in the three months, so that then can later justify price hikes