

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you agree that copy management would broaden the range of HD content available on DTT and help secure its long term viability as a platform? :

No, certainly not. Restrictions on broadcasting are akin to the US Prohibition laws which created its own crime syndicates dedicated to exploiting a law that people plainly didn't want. This is no different, broadcasting is the ultimate manifestation of freedom of speech and there should be as few restrictions as possible placed on it. Handing over control of the BBC to a corporate body is simply unacceptable, the British public have fought for their freedom and the BBC is misguided if it imagines this is something the public will benefit from.

Question 2: Do you agree that the BBC's proposed multiplex licence amendment represents the most appropriate means for securing an effective content management system on HD DTT? :

No, this is yet another layer of restrictive bureaucracy adding yet more expense for the public to shoulder. There is no benefit to the public in restricting content, the entire process is designed to benefit corporations. The BBC is acting on behalf of big business instead of the licence payer.

Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed change to Condition 6 in the Multiplex B Licence? :

The question is irrelevant as the entire Multiplex proposal is wrong.

Question 4: Do you agree that Multiplexes C and D should be granted a similar amendment to their Licences as Multiplex B?. :

The question is irrelevant as the entire Multiplex proposal is wrong.

Question 5: Do you agree that the BBC's proposed approach for implementing content management would safeguard citizens and consumers legitimate use of HD content, and if not, what additional guarantees would be appropriate? :

NO, the only people safeguarded by this agreement are the corporates. The mis information peddled about 'Piracy' is designed along the same lines as the fraudulent, misleading claims made on Anthropological Global Warming by the IPCC and the University of East Anglia which benefits no one but the ultimate Captain of the Pirates, Al Gore who is making a fortune from his investments in 'Eco' companies.

Question 6: Do you agree that the BBC's proposed choice of content management technologies will have only a negligible impact on the cost of HD DTT receivers and their interoperability with other HD consumer equipment? . :

No, Along with all the other costs and taxes we are forced to pay the "negligible impact" is in fact a significant cost. The insidious nature of creeping taxation is contributing to the ever increasing Rich/Poor divide and this is simply another burden for the poor to bear.

Question 7: Do stakeholders agree that the BBC's proposed Huffman Code licensing arrangements would have a negligible effect on the market for HD DTT receivers? :

No, another layer of unnecessary technology is no good for anyone.

Question 8: Do the BBC's proposed content management states and their permitted use for different categories of HD content meet the requirements of other HD broadcasters on DTT? . :

Question 9: Are there any issues that you consider Ofcom should take into account in assessing the BBC's proposal, that have not been addressed by this consultation?:

Scare tactics on the impact of Piracy are disseminated by the very means the public is relying on for reliable information. If corporations want something in the 21st century they simply engage a marketing company to whip up interest over the internet by promoting outrageous concepts then 'researching' the environment and presenting their findings as incontrovertible fact.

Acting as 'customers' it is up to the BBC to dictate terms to the broadcaster on behalf of the licence payer and DRM is clearly not to the advantage of anyone but the corporations promoting it.