

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Brian

**Surname:**

Leftwich

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:**

Keep nothing confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 5.1: Do you agree with the assumptions set out in paragraph 5.86 above? If not, please set out your reasons.:**

Fully Agree

**Question 6.1: Do you agree with our proposal to impose a regulatory condition on Royal Mail to require it to provide the universal service as set out above? If not, what alternative approach would you suggest?:**

If we impose a regulatory condition on Royal Mail , then I believe they should increase the wholesale price to at least 20p per letter, due to the fact they do not just deliver the other peoples mail on the final mile

**Question 6.2: Do you agree that a price control is not an appropriate option at present for regulating Royal Mail's prices? If not, please explain why and how a price control could be implemented effectively.:**

I think we should look at the price control for say packet prices and increase the size weight of 2nd class to 1.5kg

**Question 6.3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to put in place regulatory safeguards as described above? If not, please provide reasons.:**

I believe that if we do not have safeguard the other companies will just cherry pick the best bits of which they do now

**Question 6.4: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to put in place a monitoring regime? If not, please provide reasons.:**

we should have a monitoring regime but it must be on the same levels as the others of which postcomm did not

**Question 6.5: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals for an index-linked safeguard cap on standard letters from 45p to 55p? If not, please provide reasons.:**

If you mean 2nd class letters, yes or even index them

**Question 6.6: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal that the approach outlined above remains in place for seven years? If not, please provide reasons.:**

the approach to is ok but they must be on a level paying field with the rest otherwise it would be like tying my right round my back

**Question 7.1: Do you agree with our approach to assessing end-to-end competition? If not, please give your reasons.:**

The other do not prepare the mail, they collect bulk mail in bags and then RM staff have to sort and seg it to the machine area of dispatch, then loctate to the forward roads, then bag the mail to the local offices, and they they sort it to the postmans round, this is not the final mile

**Question 7.2: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to impose an obligation on Royal Mail to provide access at the Inward Mail Centre? If not, please give reasons.:**

no if TNT want to handle gas bills etc they then should be prepared to deliver the mail themselves but they will sulk and say its not fair, because it means they have to set up a new

network of which costs money  
then increase the money given to royal mail etc by its rivals

**Question 7.3: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals in respect of regulating margin squeeze? If not, please give reasons.:**

we should let royal mail have fair competition with its competitors but we should also protect the shareholder

**Question 7.4: Do you agree with our approach concerning the Terms and Conditions for access, including the role of equivalence and the regulation of zonal pricing? If not, please give your reasons.:**

it cost more to delivery a letter to say Norwich from Glasgow but a tiny bit less then Norwich to Norwich

**Question 8.1: Do you agree with the objectives for regulatory financial reporting that we have set out above? Please provide details to support your response. :**

**Question 8.2: Do you agree that our regulatory financial reporting proposals, set out in this section and the supporting Annex, are appropriate and proportionate? Please provide reasons and evidence to support your views.:**

**Question 8.3: Do you agree with our proposals on the rules and requirements contained in the draft Regulatory Accounting Guidelines and do you consider that they are likely to provide an appropriate and proportionate level of cost transparency and accounting separation?:**

**Question 8.4: Do you agree with our proposals set out above in relation to accounting separation? Are there any further risks that you think Ofcom needs also to consider in making decisions in this area? To the extent that you consider there to be risks associated with our proposals, how do you consider they might best be addressed?:**