

Mr Trevor Reynolds

**Additional comments:**

Having just spent all this time and effort moving people to digital TV which has been a mess with some people already having to replace set top boxes the regulator and the sector need to make sure that 4G is introduced without interfering with Digital TV. If there is interference then the 4G companies should pay compensation and should fix the problem for any consumers affected.

This meets the general principle that the polluter pays.

You wouldn't approve the design of a new car which required the rebuilding of existing cars, why should telecomms. be any different.

**Question 1: Do you have any comments on our modelling approach and assessment of numbers of households affected?:**

The modelling approach seems reasonable.

**Question 2: Do you agree with our high level conclusions on mitigation options?:**

**Question 3: Do you have any comments, views or evidence that you would wish to be considered in our further work looking at the appropriate level of consumer support?:**

It is quite clear that the new service should pay for changes needed to enable the existing service to continue. Filters should be sent out to all households and additional support provided if they don't work. For communal installations a filter and installation should be provided as standard.

**Question 4: Do you have any comments or views on how we have assessed the approaches and our preference for the hybrid approach?:**

**Question 5: Do you agree with the options, the assessment approach and our initial conclusions? What are your views on cost risks and how to deal with them?:**

Would it be cheaper to add the proposed responsibilities of MitCo to an already existing body.