

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? ability to raise prices in fixed term contracts without the automatic right to terminate without penalty on the part of consumers?:**

Yes I do, I do not understand how they can change the terms of a signed contract with the consumer being able to terminate with out penalty. What is to stop the Communication provider simple doubling and tripling the price month into a contract? It is simply a licence to print money

**Question 2: Should consumers share the risk of Communications Providers? costs increasing or should Communications Providers bear that risk because they are better placed to assess the risks and take steps to mitigate them?:**

The Communication Provider should bear the risk

**Question 3: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from Communications Providers? inconsistent application of the ?material detriment? test in GC9.6 and the uncertainties associated with the UTCCRs?:**

Yes I do

**Question 4: Should Communications Providers be allowed (in the first instance) to unilaterally determine what constitutes material detriment or should Ofcom provide guidance?:**

It is a conflict of interests for the Communications Providers to be able to determine this

**Question 5: What are your views on whether guidance would provide an adequate remedy for the consumer harm identified? Do you have a view as to how guidance could remedy the harm?:**

I don't think guidance would provide a remedy.

**Question 6: Do you agree with the consumer harm identified from the lack of transparency of price variation terms?:**

Yes I do, I was completely unaware that this was allowed until I was affected.

**Question 7: Do you agree that transparency alone would not provide adequate protection for consumers against the harm caused by price rises in fixed term contracts?:**

I do agree. Just because something is transparent doesn't make right or fair. If all providers have this clause in their contracts even if consumers are aware of it they have no choice but to be exposed to this risk

**Question 8: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should protect consumers in respect of any increase in the price for services provided under a contract applicable at the time that contract is entered into by the consumer? :**

Yes

**Question 9: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention should apply to price increases in relation to all services or do you think that there are particular services which should be treated differently, for example, increases to the service charge for calls to non-geographical numbers?:**

It should apply to all services. A fixed contract should be just that

**Question 10: Do you agree that the harm identified from price rises in fixed term contracts applies to small business customers (as well as residential customers) but not larger businesses?:**

Yes

**Question 11: Do you agree that any regulatory intervention that we may take to protect customers from price rises in fixed term contracts should apply to residential and small business customers alike?:**

Yes

**Question 12: Do you agree that our definition of small business customers in the context of this consultation and any subsequent regulatory intervention should be consistent with the definition in section 52(6) of the Communications Act and in other parts of the General Conditions?:**

Yes

**Question 13: Do you agree that price rises due to the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29 are outside a Communications Provider's control or ability to manage and therefore they should not be required to let consumers withdraw from the contract without penalty where price rises are as a result of one of these factors?:**

Yes

**Question 14: Except for the reasons referred to in paragraph 5.29, are there any other reasons for price increases that you would consider to be fully**

**outside the control of Communications Providers or their ability to manage and therefore should not trigger the obligation on providers to allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty?:**

No

**Question 15: Do you agree that Communications Providers are best placed to decide how they can communicate contract variations effectively with its consumers?:**

Yes

**Question 16: Do you agree with Ofcom's approach to liaise with providers informally at this stage, where appropriate, with suggestions for better practice where we identify that notifications could be improved?:**

No, this issue needs to be handled more formally

**Question 17: What are your views on Ofcom's additional suggestions for best practice in relation to the notification of contractual variations as set out above? Do you have any further suggestions for best practice in relation to contract variation notifications to consumers?:**

No

**Question 18: What are your views on the length of time that consumers should be given to cancel a contract without penalty in order to avoid a price rise? For consistency, should there be a set timescale to apply to all Communications Providers? :**

I think this should be 3 months. 1 month is not long enough in case people are out of the country for an extended period and do not receive the notification

**Question 19: What are your views on whether there should be guidance which sets out the length of time that Communications Providers should allow consumers to exit the contract without penalty to avoid a price rise?:**

There should be guidance

**Question 20: Do you agree that this option to make no changes to the current regulatory framework is not a suitable option in light of the consumer harm identified in section 4 above?:**

I agree

**Question 21: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 2? If not, please explain your reasons.:**

No I do not. There is still too much grey area here and it will still prove too difficult and time consuming to argue material detriment

**Question 22: Do you agree with Ofcom's analysis of option 3? If not, please explain your reasons.:**

No I do not. If every provider has the same terms in their contracts then having to opt-in to any variable prices would not help the consumer. You would only have 2 choices opt-in or do not have a mobile.

**Question 23: What are your views on option 4 to modify the General Condition to require Communications Providers to notify consumers of their ability to withdraw from the contract without penalty for any price increases?:**

This is exactly the option that should be taken. The increase in price of a fixed term contract should be seen as a breach of contract and the consumer should have the option to leave without penalty

**Question 24: Do you agree with Ofcom's assessment that option 4 is the most suitable option to address the consumer harm from price rises in fixed term contracts?:**

Yes

**Question 25: Do you agree that Ofcom's proposed modifications of GC9.6 would give the intended effect to option 4?:**

Yes

**Question 26: What are your views on the material detriment test in GC9.6 still applying to any non-price variations in the contract?:**

I do not like it due to the very loose definition of material detriment. Any change in contract definition (price variation or non-price variation) should give the consumer chance to leave without penalty

**Question 27: For our preferred option 4, do you agree that a three month implementation period for Communications Providers would be appropriate to comply with any new arrangements?:**

No, this should happen much quicker to not give the Communications Providers chance to get their price increases out of the way before the wording comes into place.

**Question 28: What are your views on any new regulatory requirement only applying to new contracts?:**

This is not good enough as it does not help consumers who are stuck in increasing lengthy (up to 24 months) contract. It should apply to both new and existing contracts