

Title:

Mr

Forename:

malcolm

Surname:

lister

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:

Keep nothing confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:

You may publish my response on receipt

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:

no.

Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:

This question says it all. You are supposed to have done all the research before you reach conclusions. What confidence do you have in your conclusions if you are not sure about your fact-finding?

Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:

Yes, but that is not relevant to the principle matter of aviation safety.

Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:

No.

Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:

Don't know enough about it to comment.

Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:

The question of phasing-in isn't the point.

Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:

No.

Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:

No. You have displayed a blatant disregard for the safety and security of aviation in the UK, particularly of General Aviation. It is very clear that many radio stations will close shop rather than pay your fees. That will release a number of frequencies, but I doubt they will be useful to you. The consequence for the flying community is that aviation in the UK will be significantly more dangerous for those flying and the rest of the population below. I can't provide evidence to prove this statement - but neither have you in your claim that there is a massive unfulfilled demand for frequencies.