

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Surname:

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

Jrtelectrics@hotmail.com

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Absolutely shocked , thought it was a joke when I was told this but here I am.

Question 1: Do you agree with Aegis's conclusions on congestion of current use of 420-470 MHz spectrum? Are there any other signs or areas of congestion that Aegis have

not identified from their review?:

I do not agree with the findings and would like to ask what was used to come up with these findings?

Question 2: Do you agree with Aegis's conclusions on the future demand and use of 420-470 MHz spectrum over the next ten years? Are there any other future uses or areas for future demand that Aegis have not identified from their review?:

934 MHz was the UK's UHF CB allocation, a lot of people spent hundreds of pounds buying equipment for it, but then mobile phones got popular and 934 MHz was sold off leaving a lot of displaced users having to use 27 MHz and buying equipment all over again, why have we the ground users of radio with no business gain not got a decent freeband allocation? We are trying to encourage people into the great hobby of radio BUT it's a catch 22 as newbies to radio have to either hunt to find amateur radio clubs and have to then learn a load of theory and pass a test etc, your answer maybe we'll they have to learn this theory etc as they are using a radio transmitter and they could cause undue interference well my answer is why do light licence holders not have to do some sort of test? 2nd option use a CB or a 446 unit with as much power and use as a kid's toy, there is nothing out there to aid everyday people into radio and that's one of the issues. I'm a ham operator and a general radio operator

Question 3: Do you agree with Aegis's conclusions that there is not yet any UK demand for wideband services in the 450-470 MHz band (which could for example, be used to improve rural mobile coverage)? Please provide any supporting evidence for your position.:

I think we need to deal with what's been lacking and been in demand for the last 10 years let alone 10 years ahead

Question 4: Have you experienced degradation in your systems? performance which you consider to be caused by continental interference in the last 12 months? If yes, what approach did you take towards managing and minimising interference?

Please provide any supporting evidence which explains the frequency, impact, duration, time, location and cause (whether suspected or investigated) of the interference with respect to your specific sector(s).:

No, just a total decline in amateur UHF and SUHF, what complaints have you received if any regarding this issue you are raising and by who and what investigations have followed it up? As it seems strange you would be conducting congestion operations without some sort of reason and or complaint by a user/s?

Question 5: Is there additional information relevant to the configuration of the 420-470 MHz band that we should consider in developing our approach to its future management? Please provide any evidence to support your views.:

A new uhf/vhf freeband for people into radio for non profit, to co inside with Europe and Australia which have one at a decent usable power level and external antenna capabilities, this system could be fashioned around the current light licence scheme this users pay a small fee to register etc ,

Question 6: Do you agree with the potential solutions Aegis have proposed for managing the 420-470 MHz band to both meet the continued growth in congestion and demand from incumbent spectrum users, and to facilitate the deployment of wideband technologies? Are there any other solutions which you consider we should examine that Aegis have not identified from their review?

Please provide any evidence to support your position and reference each solution in your response as appropriate.:

You are forgetting the non profit human using these frequencies and take the spot light from the business side , you have to agree normal people being 446mhz users and ham radio users are allowed to use this area, if you are going to take away that for monetary gain what are you proposing to give us in exchange ?

Seems like a fair question?

Question 7: Do you have any further comments relevant to how we might manage spectrum between 420-470 MHz? :

Get a broad view from all parties involved it will effect and go from there

Question 8: Do you have any comments on our proposed programme of work, the outcomes from which we will use to inform future decisions on how we manage the 420-470 MHz band? Are there any additional areas you consider we should explore?:

Yes why isn't any of this pre publicised so before it got to findings the people who it affects actually got asked via means of ofcom and their database of amateur radio users , couldn't ofcom email all users or write to them with a general radio census to get a rough ground level idea of the situation? At the same time add into the census that if you do not get a response it will be taken on board your no longer an active ham and if in future you want to re activate your licence it's at a fee , that way there ofcom could get a nice clean up to date database of who's active and where and comments from active hams in which

parts of the spectrum are dead and can be taken for these wideband systems you say we need.