Your response

Question	Your response
Question 1: (Section 4) Do you agree with our proposals on the coverage obligations as set out in this section? Please give reasons supported by evidence for your views.	Confidential? Not confidential. Yes, agreed. We would like to highlight the opportunities for mobile coverage in rural places where is strategically important for local tourism market and visitor economy i.e. Sherwood Forest in Nottinghamshire. Nottinghamshire County Council is currently looking in to enhance visitors' experiences of the county where we already have a network of cycle and walking routes, many based on former mineral lines, but there are key gaps within this. Crucially these routes have no high-speed voice and broadband infrastructure serving them. In essence it's a cycle route, taking people away from road congestion and connecting the national cycle routes. This will be framed around enabling visitors to loop around the visitor centre and other visitor attractions in the forest vicinity. Hosting the Tour of Britain has however, highlighted the potential for growth in Nottinghamshire as a cycling destination.
Question 2: (Section 5) Do you agree that we have identified the correct competition concerns?	Confidential? Not confidential. Yes, agreed.
Question 3: (Section 5) Do you agree with our assessment of these competition concerns, and our proposed measure for addressing them? Please give reasons supported by evidence for your views.	Confidential? Not confidential. Yes, agreed.
Question 4: (Section 6) Do you agree with our proposal to proceed with a conventional assignment stage?	Confidential? Not confidential. Yes, agreed.

Question 5: (Section 7) Do you agree with our proposal to use a CCA design for this award?	Confidential? Not confidential.
proposal to use a contractign for this award.	Yes, agreed.
Question 6: (Section 7) Do you have any comments on the proposed detailed rules for	Confidential? Not confidential.
our CCA design?	No comments.
Question 7: (Section 8) Do you agree with our proposed approach to coexistence in the 700	Confidential? Not confidential.
MHz band?	Yes, agreed.
Question 8: (Section 8) Do you have any comments on the proposed licence obligation	Confidential? Not confidential.
and guidance note (annex 19)?	No comments.
Question 9: (Section 9) Do you agree with our proposed approach to managing interim	Confidential? Not confidential.
protections for registered 3.6-3.8 GHz band users?	Yes, agreed.
Question 10: (Section 9) Do you agree with our 3.6-3.8 GHz in-band restriction zone	Confidential? Not confidential.
proposals?	Yes, agreed.
Question 11: (Section 9) Do you agree with our view that we do not need to include any	Confidential? Not confidential.
specific conditions in 3.6-3.8 GHz licences to	Yes, agreed.
mitigate the risk of adjacent band interference?	
Question 12: (Section 10) Do you agree with the non-technical conditions that we propose	Confidential? Not confidential.
to include in the licences to be issued after the award of the 700 MHz and 3.6-3.8 GHz bands?	Yes, agreed.
Question 13: (Section 11) Do you agree with	Confidential? Not confidential.
the technical licence conditions we propose?	Yes, agreed.