

Mr John Ross

Additional comments:

AT ALL TIMES THERE SHOULD BE AN OVERRIDING REQUIREMENT TO REACT QUICKLY TO INTERFERENCE ISSUES ESPECIALLY FOR VULNERABLE PEOPLE. THIS IS PARTICULARLY SO WHERE LARGE NUMBERS ARE RESIDENT IN CARE HOMES OF VARIOUS SIZES AND CONDITIONS. EXPERIENCE INDICATES THAT MANY OF THESE MAY NOT ENJOY THE BEST POSSIBLE RECEPTION CONDITIONS ANYWAY (OFTEN WITH MORE THAN A SINGLE AMPLIFIER/SYSTEM OPERATING WITHIN THE HOME) AND WILL CERTAINLY REQUIRE URGENT REACTION TO ISSUES RAISED.

Question 7.1: Do you agree that it is best to seek to establish MitCo in advance of the auction for later transferral to 800 MHz licensees?:

YES

Question 7.2: Do you agree with our initial views on MitCo?s constitution and governance?:

YES

Question 7.3: Do you have any views on the proposed approach to the Supervisory Board.:

NONE

Question 7.4:We propose that the 50 gain share be split between 800 MHz licensees based on the volume of spectrum they hold in the 800 MHz band. Do you have any comments on this proposal?:

NONE

Question 7.5: Are the information parameters defined above and in Annex 5 sufficient to allow MitCo to accurately and reliably forecast the scale and scope of households affected by DTT interference?:

PROBABLY ALTHOUGH DTT TRANSMITTER OVERLAP ISSUES AND THE TENDENCY FOR SOME HOUSEHOLDS TO RECEIVE FROM MORE THAN ONE DTT TRANSMITTER MAY CREATE COMPLICATION AND INACCURACIES

Question 7.6: Do you agree the KPIs related to MitCo?s activities are appropriate and robust?:

YES ALTHOUGH 8 DAY TIMESCALES TO REMEDY ISSUES FOR VULNERABLE PEOPLE SEEMS LONG

Question 7.7: Do you agree that the KPI for incentivising and measuring the proactive supply of DTT receiver filters to households affected by interference should be based on an assessment of the outcomes rather than the activities performed by MitCo?:

YES

Question 7.8: Do you agree with the approach we have outlined for incentivising KPI achievement and managing cases of non-compliance with KPIs?:

YES

Question 7.9: Do you agree with our proposed approach for managing MitCo?s performance against other elements of service delivery that are not captured by KPIs?:

YES

Question 7.10: Do you think a hard or soft limit should be set in relation to platform changes? Do you have any other comments in relation to the platform change cap?:

HARD

Question 7.11: Do you agree with the requirements we propose to place on licensees to address interference after MitCo closes?:

YES

Question 8.1: Do you have any views on the nature or detail of the requirements we propose may be necessary as set out in this Section?:

NONE