

Title:

Please select

Forename:

Dave

Surname:

Baxter

Representing:

Self

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

Additional comments:

A long time overdue.

I'd have thought, that an "in the air" level limit (in dBuV/m?) would encompass just about all eventualities, irrespective of what was radiating unintentionally, and how.

That way, "inappropriate or unshielded cabling" could be caught too, so if something who's makers specified should have shielded interconnects (high power variable speed motor drives, and some LED lighting for example) and the contractor "cut corners", that could be detected and caught too, even though the "Apparatus" itself complies.

However, please ensure there are resources to police and enforce these regulations.

Question 1: Do you agree that the Proposed Regulations correctly give effect to the policy proposals referred to, and to the other intentions set out, in this document?:

In essence, Yes.