

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Chris

Surname:

Wooding

Representing:

Self

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

The UK government has put a great deal of time, effort and money into the creation of the NLPG, which is now called AdressBAse and is managed jointly by Ordnance survey and government.

It would be much better for UK government and business if we had one definitive property/address database that was affordable to all and with a common reference for each property.

It is madness and a waste of money to let the Post Office go ahead with creating another address database, that will no doubt use different referencing identifiers to the national address database created by Local Government and the emergency services.

When will UK plc learn that a main key to effective governance and commerce is standardisation of critical datasets.

If we allow this to happen it will set "joined up" UK back by 20 years.

About me.

I have worked in IT for 30 years , in Local Goverment and Commercial organisations.
"Database design is technical common sense."

Question 3.1: We welcome views from stakeholders on whether the setting of quality targets for PAF would be constructive. If so, would stakeholders find the publication of achievement against those targets helpful? Please state why:

Scrap PAF and make PO use AddressBase, see my additional comments.

Question 6.1: Do stakeholders agree with our analysis of the options for cost recovery against the principles of cost causation, and our proposal on cost recovery? Please give reasons for your response:

Scrap PAF and make PO use AddressBase, see my additional comments.

Question 7.1: Do stakeholders agree with our proposed approach to the terms on which PAF is made available, and our guidance on those terms? Please give reasons for your response:

Scrap PAF and make PO use AddressBase, see my additional comments.