

Additional comments:

1. Why would I wish to accept packages whose contents are unknown?
2. I have enough trouble with my neighbours stealing my re-cycling bags, why would I want them to take possession of my personal valuables?
3. The whole idea should be thrown out by the regulator - it's an attempt on Royal Mail's part to relinquish responsibility for providing a service. It offers no security for the sender or receiver.
4. I can see the attractions of this scheme for, say, the Outer Hebrides, but clearly neither Royal Mail nor Ofcom have any concept of how Hounslow reflects a &broken' society. It's a completely inappropriate environment for this neighbour fantasy. Half the mail this house receives now is for a woman whom I don't know who has stolen my address for financial fraud.
5. The idea that one has to opt out of a scheme where one's mail is given away to someone else is disgraceful in itself. That one should have to do it by prominently displaying some sticker adds insult to injury - I think that I, not Royal mail or Ofcom, should choose how I adorn my house.

Question 1:Do you agree that Ofcom should grant approval to Royal Mail for the Delivery to Neighbour service? If not please explain your answer. :

No. The idea that something can be considered to have been legally delivered when it is given to a third party who is neither the intended recipient nor the sender, and with whom neither the sender nor receiver has any contract, is absurd. It's utterly irrational. A legal statute that is itself Wednesbury unreasonable: there's a novelty and a scandal at the same time.

Question 2:Are there other consequences following the roll out of the service across the UK that we have not included in our assessment? If so, please explain.:

Question 3:Do you have any comments on the scope and wording of the proposed Notification and approval: