

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Jim

**Surname:**

Bacon

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

Amateur radio G3YLA

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

I feel that, as documented in the response from the RSGB, there are segments which appear to be under specified.

For example, many of the interference examples I am aware of relate to rfi generated by cable systems, e.g. broadband and PLA type installations and solar panels or their control wiring. Additionally, there are many cases of overhead power lines and street light incidents which should be explicitly covered.

The perennial cases of 'wall wart' psu devices and led lighting account for many examples of observable increases in the noise floor in my house, and probably my neighbours too. It is not acceptable that these effects are not controlled because of the weight of numbers using the offending devices...the cost of replacement should be directed straight back to the supplier/manufacturer.

The amateur radio community is very grateful for the support and overseeing by Ofcom of our frequencies, but although we are 'small' users in a commercial sense, the same problems that beset us will also affect the more significant spectrum users; we are just in a better position to be aware of the true scale of the problem, and I hope you will see our plea as also being for the general wellbeing of spectrum users.

Just think of all that wasted energy being generated, not very green and it does make the air blue near my receiver...

**Question 1: Do you agree that the Proposed Regulations correctly give effect to the policy proposals referred to, and to the other intentions set out, in this document?:**

No quite, the spirit is good, but as per the RSGB briefing notes, there appear to be several weaknesses.