

**Representing:**

Self

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

A majority of radio amateurs using this part of the spectrum are also professionally engaged in this frequency area and are familiar with the test techniques required. It should therefore be possible to provide sufficient test equipment and knowledge to resolve the majority of potential interference problems within the amateur community should these occur.

**Question 1: Do you agree that it is likely that the benefits to UK consumers and citizens will be greater from the MoD's release of spectrum in the 2.3 GHz and 3.4 GHz release bands than from retaining the current amateur use?:**

Possibly

**Question 2: Are there current uses in the release bands other than those detailed in RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3 of this consultation?:**

No

**Question 3: Are there further consequences of removing the release bands from amateur licences that have not been considered in our analysis?:**

Not that I am aware of

**Question 4: There is an option (although not preferred) to remove access to the adjacent bands, as well as to the release bands. What are the consequences of removing access to the adjacent bands from amateur licences?:**

These bands are used as part of the self-training of amateur users in microwave techniques and propagation studies

**Question 5: Are there current uses in the adjacent bands other than those detailed in the RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3?:**

Not that I am aware of

**Question 6: Are there additional mitigation measures which would provide demonstrable proof that amateurs would not cause interference into LTE in the release bands following the release?:**

These would be difficult to demonstrate

**Question 7: Do you agree with the proposed process for varying licences following cases of reported interference and our proposal to vary licences should dealing with the number of reported cases become too onerous?:**

It is in line with current licence conditions

**Question 8: Do you agree with our preferred option?:**

I do not disagree

**Question 9: Are there additional changes to the Amateur Radio Licence which would assist amateur in lowering the risk of causing harmful interference to new uses?:**

Setting additional, achievable target levels for spurious signals that would be measurable by test equipment now available to amateur operators including professional test equipment (spectrum analysers)