

**Forename:**

**Surname:**

Name confidential

**Representing:**

Organisation

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What do you want Ofcom to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Ofcom should only publish this response after the consultation has ended:**

You may publish my response on receipt

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1: Do you consider that our proposed fee rates for licences in the aeronautical VHF frequencies are appropriate?:**

No in particular the fee for running an ATIS will be counter productive to safety as operators are unable to recover these costs from all users unless they land at their aerodrome. ATIS services are often used by GA pilots planning ahead for their flight in potentially poor weather conditions. Therefore the cost which will have to be passed on will be disproportionate to the benefit or the service will simply be withdrawn to keep costs down.

**Question 2: In devising our revised proposals, have we identified all of the aeronautical uses of VHF communications frequencies which require a distinct approach to fee setting, as set out in tables 5 and 6?:**

Yes

**Question 3: Do you agree with our proposal not to charge any fees for Fire assignments?:**

Yes

**Question 4: Do you agree with our proposal to set a £75 fee for licences in any of the sporting frequencies?:**

No

**Question 5: Do you agree with our proposal to set an annual fee of £19,800 per ACARS or VDL assignment, with no variation related to the number of transmitters?:**

No opinion

**Question 6: Do you consider that our proposed approach to phasing in fees for use of the aeronautical VHF communications channels are appropriate? If there are particular reasons why you consider that any user or group of users would need longer phasing-in periods, please provide any supporting evidence for us to consider. Specifically, do you have any evidence for us to consider that would support either of Options 1 and 2 for the highest proposed fee in this sector?:**

The phasing in period is not long enough and you should study how operators will be affected who have long term arrangements with aerodromes who have to maintain financial viability.

**Question 7: Do you have any further quantified information to contribute to the analysis of financial impacts of the proposed fees on particular spectrum users, as set out in Annex 5? We would like to publish all responses, but will respect the confidentiality of any material which is clearly marked as such.:**

I believe you should study this yourselves rather than expect a somewhat fragmented, over regulated and financially hard pressed industry to respond to a massive document which whilst possibly thorough is hopelessly detailed for a busy operator to read through.

**Question 8: Do you consider that our assessment of the impacts of our proposals has taken full account of relevant factors? If you consider that there is additional evidence that would indicate particular impacts we should take into account, we would be grateful if you could provide this.:**

No - for example see my response to question 1. Also why are you carrying out a second consultation when the response to the first one was so negative.