

Stakeholder queries on Ofcom's consultation of 31 March 2011 on charge control review for LLU and WLR services

Theme: Reconciliation

Stakeholder	Condoc page no.	Condoc para. ref.	Stakeholder query	Ofcom response
Analysys Mason	96 - 97	Fig 7.6	We would like to seek clarification on the reconciliation of BT RFS 2009/10 unit costs and Openreach Cost Stacks (OCS) unit costs as stated in Figure 7.6 of the Consultation Document, and in turn, the reconciliation of OCS unit costs and Ofcom unit costs as stated in Figures 9.3 to 9.5 of the Consultation Annex?	Please see 'Unit Reconciliation' supplementary information which shows how we moved from the BT base OSC figure to our figure in the Consultation. We are now working on how to improve the reconciliation.
Analysys Mason	96 - 97	Fig 7.6	On the reconciliation of the BT RFS and OCS unit costs, there is no specific explanation for the reconciliation figures in Figure 7.6 – for instance, it is not clear what 'CCA-BTO' refers to?	As above
Analysys Mason	96 - 97	Fig 7.6	There also appears to be some inconsistencies in Figure 7.6 – there are two cost lines labelled 'General cost allocations' but reflecting different figures – what is the reason for the discrepancy?	As above
Analysys Mason		all tables	In the tables providing information on unit cost and breakdown by cost categories or activities, the ROCE contribution is not split at all (one line). Would it be possible to provide us with a	This is for confidentiality reasons.

			table in a different format, where operating costs and ROCE would be split in a similar manner?	
--	--	--	---	--