

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Robert

Surname:

Fisk

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

No

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

As a user of this band for many years, working many stations over these years, I would strongly disagree with any loss of available frequency's.

Question 1: Do you agree that it is likely that the benefits to UK consumers and citizens will be greater from the MoD's release of spectrum in the 2.3 GHz and 3.4 GHz release bands than from retaining the current amateur use?:

It would not be (in my opinion) of benefit to non amateur radio operators to gain this band for commercial gain only,. It needs to be retained for amateur radio use along with the Mod allocation.

Question 2: Are there current uses in the release bands other than those detailed in RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3 of this consultation?:

I consider this question to be outside my interests. I.E I am motivated to retain the amateur radio allocation as it stands.

Question 3: Are there further consequences of removing the release bands from amateur licences that have not been considered in our analysis?:

Along with other amateur radio operators on this band and the MOD we have had to purchase a large amount of expensive equipment to work in this band.

Question 4: There is an option (although not preferred) to remove access to the adjacent bands, as well as to the release bands. What are the consequences of removing access to the adjacent bands from amateur licences?:

If adjacent wifi is removed this will greatly enhance the amateur radio part of the band as it will greatly cut adjacent interference given the large use of wifi. The wifi allocation has restricted our use due to said interference.

Question 5: Are there current uses in the adjacent bands other than those detailed in the RSGB's band plan and discussed in Section 3?:

Mod? .. as far as I am aware.

Question 6: Are there additional mitigation measures which would provide demonstrable proof that amateurs would not cause interference into LTE in the release bands following the release?:

To ensure that the signals are clean and as far away from the LTE as possible. If there is a section in the band in between LTE and general WIFI then this will help to cut interference, perhaps a Amateur allocation only section.

Question 7: Do you agree with the proposed process for varying licences following cases of reported interference and our proposal to vary licences should dealing with the number of reported cases become too onerous?:

Yes I agree.

Question 8: Do you agree with our preferred option?:

In part, the amateur allocation however small should be away from Wifi and LTE to cut interference to All other uses in all directions.

Question 9: Are there additional changes to the Amateur Radio Licence which would assist amateur in lowering the risk of causing harmful interference to new uses?:

Yes, give amateurs a specific allocation under a "primary use" even if this means moving the allocation down in band to achieve a workable solution to all. Also make the allocation an

NOV that we have to apply for so we are accountable and responsible. (similar to the 5mhz allocation.