Title:
Mr
Forename:
Anthony
Surname:
Barrett
Representing:
Self
Organisation (if applicable):
Email:
radiom0rif@btinternet.com
What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:
No
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:
Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
As an amateur radio operator, the uhf band allocation, 70cm band, is of great use in my part of the world. It is used regularly my many stations, and there are many repeaters in regular use on the band. There are also many satellite up link frequencies in this band.

I think it would be very detrimental to the amateur service of these allocations

were lost.

The pmr 446 group of frequencies is also well used by private and business alike.

Hopefully any reorganization of these bands would take this into consideration.

Question 1: Do you agree with Aegis?s conclusions on congestion of current use of 420-470 MHz spectrum? Are there any other signs or areas of congestion that Aegis have not identified from their review?:

Question 2: Do you agree with Aegis?s conclusions on the future demand and use of 420-470 MHz spectrum over the next ten years? Are there any other future uses or areas for future demand that Aegis have not identified from their review?:

Question 3: Do you agree with Aegis?s conclusions that there is not yet any UK demand for wideband services in the 450-470 MHz band (which could for example, be used to improve rural mobile coverage)? Please provide any supporting evidence for your position.:

Question 4: Have you experienced degradation in your systems? performance which you consider to be caused by continental interference in the last 12 months? If yes, what approach did you take towards managing and minimising interference?

Please provide any supporting evidence which explains the frequency, impact, duration, time, location and cause (whether suspected or investigated) of the interference with respect to your specific sector(s).:

Question 5: Is there additional information relevant to the configuration of the 420-470 MHz band that we should consider in developing our approach to its future management? Please provide any evidence to support your views.:

Question 6: Do you agree with the potential solutions Aegis have proposed for managing the 420-470 MHz band to both meet the continued growth in congestion and demand from incumbent spectrum users, and to facilitate the deployment of wideband technologies? Are there any other solutions

which you consider we should examine that Aegis have not identified from their review?

Please provide any evidence to support your position and reference each solution in your response as appropriate.:

Question 7: Do you have any further comments relevant to how we might manage spectrum between 420-470 MHz?:

Question 8: Do you have any comments on our proposed programme of work, the outcomes from which we will use to inform future decisions on how we manage the 420-470 MHz band? Are there any additional areas you consider we should explore?: