Title:
Mr
Forename:
J. P.
Surname:
Gilliver
Representing:
Self
Organisation (if applicable):
Email:
What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:
No
If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:
Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
(In the published PDF of the consultation, two parts of table 2 have not made it [top right box and bottom of second column]. And at the bottom of this consultation, "To help prevent spam, please type the words you see below." actually has no captcha!)

It is difficult given the basically regulatory (and thus, to most people, boring, I fear) function of a body like OfCom, but wider public awareness is I think desirable: perhaps just the odd TV advert and the occasional page in the print media? (Like the ASA used to do?)

Question 1:Are there areas of Ofcom's overall strategic approaches and purposes (outlined in paragraphs 2.4 to 2.10 above) that may need to change?:

The "interests of consumers and citizens" are of course sometimes contradictory between various groups; in particular, the spectrum management activities of OfCom have recently shown a tendency to operate against the interests of those with least voice, at least as regards obliging them to replace equipment (by reallocating spectrum and changing the terms - including power limits - of the use thereof).

Question 2: What are the issues and areas that should form Ofcom's priorities or major work areas in 2015/16?:

One - though whether a _major_ one or not I'm not sure - is the application of pressure on/to the providing companies on the matter of broadband at the lower end of the market; ignoring superfast, FTTH, and other such desirables, there should be more effort aimed at the universal availability of a service of at least 2 and ideally 5 or 6 megaunits of speed, and ideally real competition in this area, in all geographical areas.

//

Another you might address is the locking of mobile 'phone hardware - ideally at all, but at least any refusal to unlock at contract end.

Question 3:Are there any specific areas for deregulation or simplification in the coming year?:

The universality of the postal service should _not_ be subject to any relaxing: it is already fragile.

//

(It is actually difficult to think of _any_ area I feel should be deregulated/simplified! Perhaps equipment with a range below 5 to 10 metres: the interference aspects need to retain control, but perhaps the modes of operation can be deregulated.)