

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Stewart

Surname:

Baker

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I do not agree with the usage conditions Ofcom have set out for the 470 kHz bands pertaining to excluding protection from interference by unspecified "electronic equipment".

This is contrary to the WT Act where the Amateur Radio service is protected under statute against "Harmful Interference". There is no provision under the WT Act for any exclusion based on frequency.

If Ofcom makes this a condition to the use of the 470 kHz band it may also be acting illegally

according to EU law.

=====

DIRECTIVE 2004/108/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE
COUNCIL of 15 December 2004

Acting in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 251 of the Treaty (2),

Whereas:

(2) Member States are responsible for ensuring that radiocommunications, including radio
broadcast reception
and the amateur radio service operating in accordance with International Telecommunication
Union (ITU) radio
regulations, electrical supply networks and telecommunications networks, as well as
equipment connected
thereto, are protected against electromagnetic disturbance

=====

Again no exclusion for frequency.

Stewart Baker G3RXQ

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s
authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named
club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include
circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help
ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:**

NC

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of
revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4
with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:**

NC

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed
from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for
failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

NC

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to
reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions
Booklet?:**

NC

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

NC

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

NC

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

NC

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

NC

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

NC