

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Peter

Surname:

Hart

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I do not agree with the proposal as it stands. I agree that these bands should be included into the full licence but should be included in the same way as other bands which have secondary access. I am concerned that paragraphs 2.26.3 and 2.26.6 set unnecessary restrictions and unwanted precedents for the future particularly with respect to the blanket wording "electronic equipment"

Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

agreed

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

agreed

Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

agreed

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

agreed

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Not fully agree. I think that the 15 minute rule as a maximum interval between announcing callsigns should be retained.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No I strongly disagree. The existing practice of allocating RSLs according to transmitting location works well and is internationally recognised. The proposal will give rise to considerable confusion and is unnecessary.

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No I strongly disagree. Intermediate licences should follow exactly the same practice as for Foundation and Full with an appropriate RSL to indicate transmitting location.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

agreed

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

agreed