

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Peter

**Surname:**

Horne

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

No

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1: Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

NO. Not as stated. I agree that the 472KHz and 5MHz bands should be included in all full licensees. It should be on the basis of existing shared band usage. i.e that interference should not be caused to other services inside and outside the United Kingdom. Paragraph 2.26.6, which should be omitted entirely, It is particularly relevant to the 472KHz band where it is very difficult to make near field measurements. The phrase 'electronic equipment' in 2.26.3 should be removed entirely as it has enormous ramifications, particularly if applied to other bands.

**Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

NO. It would be better to have an arrangement whereby the licence could be simply transferred to another full licenced member of the club.

**Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':**

Yes

**Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

YES

**Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

YES

**Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

NO The current requirement should be retained. Specifically the maximum time interval should be kept at 15 minutes, and should be in a format consistent with the type of modulation use. Specific modulation formats should be included.

**Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

NO The current format is very good, is self explanatory and is recognised throughout the world. To change it would cause untold confusion, particularly in respect of awards and contests.

**Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

NO. The callsign used should reflect the current station location, anything else would cause considerable confusion.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

YES

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

YES