

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Trevor

**Surname:**

Jackson

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

No

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

No, unless you change the wording to indicate that the license conditions are the same as used on other frequencies i.e on the basis of non-interference to other users, otherwise the new frequencies will be added using some unfortunate precedents i.e. "may not claim protection from other wireless telegraphy or electronic equipment." - I thought part of OFCOMs job was to investigate interference caused to users of the spectrum, including interference to Radio Amateurs as well as interference caused by Radio Amateurs.

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

Yes this sounds like a good idea.

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':**

Yes

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

Yes

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

Yes

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

No - The 15 minute interval works fine and your suggestion that the callsign be transmitted as frequently as possible is likely to lead to new amateurs giving their callsign at the end of every sentence, which would be ridiculous

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

No - As far as I know no-one at the radio club I attend weekly is confused by the current situation. Changing the RSL will be both confusing to Amateurs in the UK and confusing to Amateurs abroad that we may wish to call and it would probably be many years before Amateurs in some foreign countries become aware of any change.

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

No, this would be confusing and the current system allows anyone listening to know the country the amateur is transmitting from which is useful during amateur radio competitions and would put intermediate licensee holders at a disadvantage in this respect.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

Yes

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

Yes