

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Stewart

Surname:

Kelly

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):**Email:****What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

I agree with the RSGB in that; some of the proposed clauses set precedents that if subsequently applied to other bands would radically change specific aspects of amateur radio in the UK. Of particular concern is Para 2.26.6, which should be omitted entirely, as well as the phrase &“electronic equipment” in Para 2.26.3. If Ofcom expects users of Wireless telegraphy to not interfere with &“electronic equipment”; then this implies that any electronic equipment, no matter how badly designed/made/installed/used, that is interfered with will become the responsibility of the person or organisation responsible for the transmitter to deal with. Ofcom seem to be showing partiality here as these conditions do not apply to other W/T act licences.
Stewart Kelly

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

I suggest Ofcom should keep the status quo on this topic

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I believe that 'the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur Licensees' situation as it stands is infinitely preferable to the Ofcom proposals as put forward in this Condoc

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: