

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Mike

Surname:

Mangan

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes

Yes I do, I feel that this would encourage more amateurs to use these Frequencies.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include

circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes

I totally agree with this move, why should the club lose its very recognizable callsign when a person no longer represents the club for a variety of reasons, it is a bit like saying I don't want to play with you anymore, so I am taking my balls home.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Basically the answer would be a strong yes, however, there could be times when things don't actually appear a clean cut black or white, in which case there should be a certain amount of leeway built in-

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No, not at all, it is hard enough now finding out a location and C/S of an operator, Keep the time at 15 minutes or SHORTEN it.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No, but now doubt the present wording could be rewritten to make it easier to understand.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No

The C/S used should always reflect the present location (country, e.g. England Wales Scotland Jersey Guernsey I.O.M. etc) of the station.

Question 9: Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

No,

Leave as is except that the Aeronautical prohibition should be removed from the licence. Currently Licence Exempt users are permitted to operate low power Aeronautical Mobile. If people without a licence are permitted to do that then it follows that all classes of Amateur licence should be allowed the same.

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

No

The situation of a user service handing a Raynet Group a previously encrypted message for transmission has been known and accepted for a long time.

To me this clarifies it. The transmission C/S is identified, but the amateur has not encrypted the transmission.