

Title:

Mr

Forename:

William

Surname:

Mcclintock

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

G3VPK

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

NO - not as proposed. Although I believe that the bands should be made available to all Full Licencees I think that they should be made available in exactly the same way as other bands to which we amateurs have access on a Secondary basis.Can the standard wording applicable to other amateur bands not be used here?

I am unhappy with Paragraph 2.26.3 with the inclusion of the blanket words "electronic equipment".

I think Paragraph 2.26.6 should be dropped as Clause (e) in Notes to Schedule1 of my existing licence is sufficient. It does not need to be reinforced in relation to MF band operation.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

I agree.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

I agree.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I agree.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I agree.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

NO - Not as proposed. Callsign usage should be clearly defined. The present maximum interval of 15-minutes seems perfectly satisfactory. I support the requirements that a station must be clearly identifiable at all times and that the identity be given in a format consistent with the modulation in use. Do specific terms such as voice or Morse Code need be used at all?

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

NO - The current practice has worked well for years and is understood by amateurs around the globe. I do not believe that there is a need to change the current mandated and widely accepted practice.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

NO - I disagree. All call sign classes should be treated in the same way by retaining the current clause in respect of the callsign prefix.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

I agree.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

I agree.