

**Title:**

Mr

**Forename:**

Peter

**Surname:**

Morys

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**Email:**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep part of the response confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

The additional comments section

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

There is no fundamental difference between these and other Amateur bands so I agree they should be added but under the same conditions as other secondary allocations

With respect to Para 2.26.3 it is not clear what 'electronic equipment' is meant to cover and could be used as a convenient 'catch all' clause for anything and should be omitted..

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named**

**club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

Yes

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:**

yes

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

Yes

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

Yes

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

The present system seems to work reasonably well. I am not sure what advantages there are to be gained

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

i am not convinced about uncertainty in Radio Amateurs regarding this clause and wonder how we would identify operating from different DXCC within the UK and crown dependencies (specifically for contest purposes) e.g. I often operate from Wales - can I use G3ABC/GW etc?

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

Having differing schemes for Intermediate and other licences is confusing. One other option if Ofcom want to follow this path started above would be to standardise on a format such as 2G1XXX for all intermediate licences.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

No Comment

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

No Comment