

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Andrew

Surname:

Mulholland

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

Email:

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

No

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Thanks for the opportunity to re read the Terms, conditions and limitation, been a few years!
It is a great hobby, please help keep it like that. Thanks Andrew

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

No not as proposed. To obtain planning permission for my mast I had to confirm I was in accordance with the guide lines set down by the Non Ionizing protection forum or some such kak, obviously put in place for commercial installations transmitting 24/7 not for some sado with his morse key. Your section about exposure to RF does not, in my opinion, apply to this hobby.

Question 2: Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, though might get messy dealing with some plonkers.

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Yes

Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes, very easy to forget about the life time part, though the RSGB do a good job at mentioning it from time to time.

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No, make a rule and stick to it. Simple.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No uncertainty with me, it's not exactly rocket science, leave it as it is.

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No, will cause confusion, particularly to those that can't understand Q7, leave as it is.

Question 9: Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to

the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Could at least be brought together, but again not that confusing..

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Not a member of RAYNET and am not sure exactly all they do so not qualified to really say much, but they seem to have a useful purpose.