

representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Not as proposed. I agree that the bands should be made available to all Licensees but in the same way as other bands to which we have access to. The standard wording applicable to other amateur bands should suffice i.e:

some of the proposed clauses set precedents that if subsequently applied to other bands would radically change specific aspects of amateur radio (most notably the phrase 'electronic equipment' in 2.26.3

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

Yes callsigns should be able to remain linked to a club as officers of that club depart as long as a member of that club holds the necessary licence pertaining to the club callsign

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

Yes this makes sense

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

yes but only after exhaustive steps are taken to ensure the licensee is notified of a possible revocation

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

yes this would make sense and i personally would still be prepared to pay a fee for my licence

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

'No - Not as proposed. A clear definition of callsign usage and the current maximum interval should be retained.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

'No - there is no need to change current mandated and widely accepted practice. Any change of current practice will lead to both confusion and disruption both nationally and internationally.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

'No I disagree - All call sign classes should be treated in the same way by retaining the current clause in respect of the callsign prefix'

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

yes as this should make dealing with other countries licencing authorities easier

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes these changes will clarify the passing of sensitive and coded messages by RAYNET operators to non licensed members of the user services directly thus speeding up and the accuracy of the delivered messages