Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Thanks for taking the trouble and for providing helpful guidance and the on-line response documents. You have put a lot of effort into this exercise, I hope we have been able to make things easier for you?

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

It is great news that we can access these band as part of the normal full licence. I am concerned about the none interference issues We have to accept interference to us, that is the nature of shared bands and normally these would be on a secondary basis anyway. We could easily cause problems to audio gear or perhaps wired internet and so on. Your proposed clause would mean we would be likely to be prohibited from using the band very quickly in reality. Could not the present non interference clause be used?

We should always be mindful of radiation issues when operating our stations no special attention required here/ perhaps a clear reminder to all.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, very good idea.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

The 15 minute period is a very long time, I would be happy for this to be shortened to 10 minute.. The Id should be in the same type of modulation being used in the transmission.

So I think the wording can stay the same really.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I think this will mess up things, whereas you are trying to simplify things. On 23 cm, say, I need to know where a station is roughly in marginal conditions so that the beam can be pointed. Often with the savage QSB we experience on 2m/70cm/23cm etc this would make tings more difficult.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No - I want to know where the station is actually transmitting from at that time. Can not the same rules apply to all classes of call sign please ?

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to

the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes