epresenting:
Self

**Organisation** (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

## **Additional comments:**

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes, but only if they have the standard licence conditions

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes, but is this really a serious problem at the moment. If no dod not add.

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

No

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No. The requirement to identify the station at the begining of each period of transmission and then at 15 minute intervals is claer and understood. Change is not requires. No. Station identification should indicate where the station is operating from

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

Current identification requirements are clear and well established and understood. Removal of the regional identifier would not be consistant with existing practises and could couse problems on VHF with estimating beam headings.

Internation competitions and awards treat the regions of the UK as serpeerate entities.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No. Station identification should indicate where the station is currently opperating from.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

The current system where the SRL is used + /p /m /a /mm as appropriate is good. The /a etc should be mandatory and not optional.

## Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

yes to comunication with non amatuer licenecee.But should they not have a licence to operate the equipment any way.

No to incription.

Yes to remote operation.