

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

Yes, but the new bands should simply be added into the existing license arrangements using the existing license conditions and framework.

If this is not possible, then the NoV arrangements should continue as a vehicle to vary the license as currently used.

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:**

I have no comments on this proposal.

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:**

Yes

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

The intent is agreed, but the timing is wrong. There are still many older radio amateurs that do not find the concept of revalidation using internet media easy and will continue to have problems with this. This situation is likely to continue for another 10 years or so - after that web based revalidation (or whatever the medium is) will be more appropriate.

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

I have no comment on this proposal.

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

No, the current arrangements appear to be well understood and well practised. I do not understand what is being gained by the new proposals.

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

No, the current arrangements are both nationally and internationally understood. I do not understand what benefits stem from the new proposals.

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

No, the current arrangements are satisfactory and work. The new proposal will make the situation more complex.

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

I have no comment to make on this as I do not operate away from the Main Station address.

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

I have no comment on this as I do not participate in RAYNET operations.