

representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

keep name confidential and callsign

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes

"The station must not cause interference to, and may not claim protection from other wireless telegraphy or electronic equipment."

The requirement should be, as I believe it currently to be the case althoyugh I do not have my own licence in front of me: that the transmissions are in the amateur band. It should NOT be the responsibility of the amateur to deal with deffective equipment of third parties.

Questions of RF exposure are vexed,no to say sometimes hysterical. First there should be a general condition for all operation.Second verifiable conditions should be set where serious adequate reference data is available.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No. Callsigns of both stations in contact should be given at the beginning and end of each over. Current arrangements are already far to lax and lead to all sorts of confusions and request for repetitions.

In a multi-way qso callsigns of trasnmitting and either last-receiving or next-to-transmit station whould be given.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No. Callsign should be employed in exactly the same way as a Class A call. Simplicity please.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: