

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

Yes I agree

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Yes I agree

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Yes, I agree

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Yes, I agree

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Yes, I agree

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

Yes, I agree

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

No. I believe that the use of RSL should continue "as is" for purposes of a) ensuring that stations being worked know the exact PRESENT location (country) of the UK amateur station and b) ensuring that existing amateur radio CONTESTING protocol, rules and practices are made easy to understand. Contesting, which is a major interest to amateurs in UK and Globally rely heavily on knowing the exact location/country of a station that they speak to. It is therefore important to keep using the RSLs. The other alternative is to use a Prefix such as GM/M1DDD/P ("Golf Mike STROKE Mike one delta delta delta STROKE Portable) to indicate that station M1DDD has main station address (is licensed in) in England but is presently operating portable in Scotland. This is a fall-back position because it is obvious that this type of prefixing (as is done in many parts of the world) leads to very extended call-signs that might not be totally clear

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

No - in a similar way to Question 7, it is important to maintain RSLs to reflect the actual operating location of the station during the radio contact with main emphasis being on contesting. Fall-back position would be a core call-sign with a prefix and "Stroke"

Question 9: Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

Yes, I agree

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes, I agree