

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

No-As proposed it is unsatisfactory.

Some of the proposals concerning the setting possible precedents, which if applied across the board, significantly change time accepted aspects of Uk Amateur radio.

Specifically clause 2-26-6 should be deleted completely and also the reference in 2-26-33-to "any electronic equipment".

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

NO-as proposed it is unsatisfactory-identification of stations , as has been generally considered satisfactory for decades in the Amateur Fraternity need not and should not be altered but retained as existing.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I see no reason to alter the present method of UK callsign prefixes, which are both fully understood and satisfactorily implemented by all classes of Amateurs both within the UK and internationally.

A significant detrimental effect to the traditionally accepted operating procedures will result if this proposal is implemented.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

It is not necessary to single out any particular class of license, they should all be considered equally , by retaining the current callsign prefix methods.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

no-not necessarily.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?: