

**Representing:**

Self

**Organisation (if applicable):**

**What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:**

Keep name confidential

**If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:**

**Ofcom may publish a response summary:**

Yes

**I confirm that I have read the declaration:**

Yes

**Additional comments:**

**Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:**

No, Not as proposed. I agree that the bands should be made available to all licensees Full but in exactly the same way as other bands to which amateurs have access on the basis of the secondary. The wording of the Standard applicable to the other amateur bands should be enough IE: In addition, on the basis of non-interference to other services within and outside The Brytanii'zestaw some of the proposed clauses on precedents, which are then applied to other teams radically change specific aspects of amateur radio in the UK. Particularly worrying is the paragraph 2.26.6, which should be entirely disregarded, as well as the expression ' hardware ' in paragraph 2.26.3 electronic 2.26.6, near field measurements at these frequencies are very difficult to identify any accuracy and in any case are irrelevant to the power levels to use. Clause (e) in its comments to Schedule1 existing license is sufficient and you don't need to be strengthened in relation to the entry of 472 kHz.

**Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:**

Yes

**Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:**

yes

**Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:**

yes

**Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:**

yes

**Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:**

No-not as proposed. A clear definition of the use of the sign and the current maximum 15-minute interval should be retained. Requirements, that the station must be clearly identifiable at all times and that the identity must be provided in a format compatible with the used modulation are supported, but that certain conditions, such as voice or Morse code should not be used.

**Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:**

There is no need to change current practice, mandated and widely accepted. Any changes to current practices would lead to confusion and distortion of both at home and abroad. To do otherwise would like to do away with more than 50 years of practice, widely understood throughout the world, and can create a stir far more than is currently there is supposedly

**Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:**

I disagree, all classes call sign should be treated in the same way, while maintaining the current clause for the prefix character

**Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to**

**the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:**

yes

**Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:**

yes