

representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I agree that radio amateurs should have access to 470khz and 5 mhz without the NOV.

I think it is important if the band is available to all amateurs without an NOV there is a full consultation before any changes or revocations are made to this and any other band and frequency.

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

I wholeheartedly agree with this, the callsign should remain with the club.

The callsign is in part the identity of the club and this should be expressed and backed up by Ofcom in the rules and regulations.

An appeal mechanism should be made available to clubs if there is a dispute with the licence holder.

Question 3: Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person'?:

I do agree that clarification is required and that all anomalies and misunderstandings are ironed out.

The amateur radio community should be able to understand the terms of the disqualification and be in no doubt who and what this affects.

Question 4: Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I do agree that if a licence isn't automatically removed then there is no reason to state it.

I do think that some sort of reminder should be sent if only on a voluntary basis say an email database that amateurs could subscribe to.

Question 5: Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I have no issues with this change.

Question 6: Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

No I strongly disagree, this change is not justified, the amateur radio community doesn't want this alteration.

If this were a change to comply with global amateur radio guidelines then I can understand it but it isn't.

The callsign is an important part of radio operation, if you are listening in to a group or individuals it is important that the callsigns are clearly identifiable on a regular basis.

Not one person I have spoken to wants or agrees with this proposal.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

I don't believe there is cause for changing any wording or altering or simplifying this clause.

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

I dont see it as an issue, like a fair amount of amateurs I operated a 2x0 callsign and had no issues with it and not once was this mentioned by anyone in the UK or outside.
The 2x0 callsign is now established and people understand the differentiation.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

I do agree that the statements and wording of these clauses need to be made clearer.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

I have no issue with the alteration to the licence as long as RAYNET is fully aware of any implications and has ben fully consulted.