

Representing:

Self

Organisation (if applicable):

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

Keep name confidential

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Keep name confidential

Ofcom may publish a response summary:

Yes

I confirm that I have read the declaration:

Yes

Additional comments:

Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:

I agree that the bands should be made available to Full Licencees in the same way that amateurs have access on a secondary basis i.e. available on the basis of non-interference to other services.

The inclusion of 'electronic equipment' in 2.26.3 goes against your practice of 'not investigating interference on non-radio related equipment.'

Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee's authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help ensure that a club's call sign remains with the club?:

In essence this could be helpful especially where the holder of the NoV moves away or no longer represents the club. It would not help though where the club call is abused by a member and hence the NoV of the club could be revoked. Under this situation the club would be able to apply for a return of the NoV or obtain a new NoV? Who would have ultimate responsibility of ensuring the club call was adhered to in the correct manner?

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4 with the definition of 'Disqualified Person':

Yes, but refer to my answer at Q2

Question 4:Do you agree that the word 'automatically' should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements':

Yes

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom's General Licence Conditions Booklet':

yes

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations':

The call-sign usage 'a maximum of every 15 minutes must be kept. Stations should give clear identification in the mode in use and not just voice or Morse code.

Question 7:Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above':

If RSL's are made optional then amateurs would not know where the station was situated. The present use of RSL's is very much better and consistent. Clearer instructions should be compiled especially for overseas amateur visitors.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station':

Yes. Retain as now. Also to apply to Foundation, Intermediate and Full Licencees.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer':

Yes

Question 10: Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

Yes