Ofcom may publish a response summary:
Yes
I confirm that I have read the declaration:
Yes
Additional comments:
Question 1:Do you agree with the proposal to include, as a matter of course, the 470 kHz and 5 MHz bands into the Licence for all Amateur Radio (Full) licensees?:
No-not as proposed in the cosultation. The bands should be made available to all Full Licencees, but following the normal practice for all secondary users. It should be made clear that the term "Electronic Equipment, " Interference to, refers to the 470khz band only. Not 5mhz. I agree with the remainder.
Question 2:Do you agree that expressly linking a Full (Club) Licensee?s authorisation to use the spectrum to his or her representation of a named club, and by adding a further ground for revoking the Licence to include

circumstances where the licensee no longer represents the club, will help

Question 3:Do you agree that Ofcom should include a further ground of revocation in the Licence as proposed above in order better to align Clause 4

ensure that a club?s call sign remains with the club?:

with the definition of ?Disqualified Person??:

epresenting:

Organisation (if applicable):

Keep name confidential

What additional details do you want to keep confidential?:

If you want part of your response kept confidential, which parts?:

Self

I agree.

I agree.

Question 4:Do you agree that the word ?automatically? should be removed from Clause 4(5) of the Licence, in relation to the revocation of the Licence for failure to comply with the revalidation requirements?:

I fully agree.

Question 5:Do you agree that Clause 15 of the Licence should be updated to reflect the wording included in Ofcom?s General Licence Conditions Booklet?:

I see no problem with this recomendation.

Question 6:Do you agree that Clause 13 of the Licence should be amended to allow for a simpler, more flexible approach for identifying Amateur Radio stations?:

I do not agree with the proposal. The original format of use of callsigns at the begining and end of transmissions should be retained, as should also the transmission of the stations callsign every 15 miutes as per the original licence.

Question 7: Given the current uncertainty amongst Radio Amateur licensees in relation to Clause 2(2), do you believe that it would be a practical solution for Ofcom to remove this Clause and to insert additional wording into Clause 13, as proposed above?:

There is no need to change the current practice of adding a locator RSL to one's callsign. Overseas amateurs can more easily identify the country of origin due to the use of locator RSL's.

Question 8:Do you agree with Ofcom?s proposal to amend Clause 2(3) of the Licence to require Intermediate licensees to transmit a call sign that reflects the location of their main station?:

Answer. As Above.

Question 9:Do you agree that replacing Clauses 2(1) and 16(1) with a new Clause to simplify and bring together all of the licence conditions relating to the operation of radio equipment away from the Main Station address will make these provisions clearer?:

The word "OR" should be included after "B", "C", "D", "E", and "F". This would clarify the statement without ambiguity. I.E. "The licensee may only operate the radio equpment. A) At the main address. B) OR at an alternative address. Etc.

I further agree that licence clause 16(1) needs clarification.

Question 10:Do you agree that the proposed changes will clarify RAYNET operation under the Licence?:

I agree.